

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/713,364	11/14/2003	Stacy A. Hunt	US20030303	9149
173	7590 11/02/2005	EXAMINER		
WHIRLPOOL PATENTS COMPANY - MD 0750 500 RENAISSANCE DRIVE - SUITE 102			HAWK, NOAH CHANDLER	
ST. JOSEPH, MI 49085		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			3637	

DATE MAILED: 11/02/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summer	10/713,364	HUNT ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Noah C. Hawk	3637			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		·			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		• •			
,	action is non-final.				
· <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-45</u> is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	with from consideration.				
6) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-45 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement				
	olootion roquii omenti.	•			
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attaches and(a)	·				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO_413)			
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)		atent Application (PTO-152)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				

Art Unit: 3637

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Group I – Dishwasher with a rack having an exterior coating of an electrocoated layer, a polymer layer and a primer layer, Group II -Dishwasher with a rack having an exterior coating of an electrocoated layer and a polymer layer, but no primer layer. Group III – Dishwasher with a rack having an exterior coating of an electrocoated layer, a polymer layer, and a non-water-based primer layer. Group IV – Dishwasher with a rack having an exterior coating of an electrocoated layer, a polymer layer, and a water-based primer layer, Group V – Dishwasher with a rack having an exterior coating of an electrocoated layer, a thermoplastic polymer layer, and a primer layer, Group VI - Dishwasher with a rack having an exterior coating of an electrocoated layer, a thermoplastic polymer layer, a primer layer and a corrosionresistant layer, Group VII – Dishwasher with a rack having an exterior coating of an electrocoated layer, a thermoplastic polymer layer, but no primer or corrosion resistant layers, Group VIII - Dishwasher with a rack having an exterior coating of a non-metallic electrocoated layer, a polymer layer, and a primer layer, Group IX - Dishwasher with a rack having an exterior coating of a non-metallic electrocoated layer and a polymer layer, Group X – Dishwasher with a rack having an exterior coating of an electrocoated paint layer, a polymer layer, and a primer layer, Group XI – Dishwasher with a rack having an exterior coating of an electrocoated paint layer, a thermoplastic polymer

A - 1 I - 1 - 0007

Art Unit: 3637

layer, and a primer layer, Group XII – Dishwasher with a rack having an exterior coating of an electrocoated paint layer, a thermoplastic polymer layer, a primer layer and a corrosion-resistant later, Group XIII – Dishwasher with a rack having an exterior coating of an electrocoated paint layer, a polymer layer, and a primer layer

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 1, 9-12 and 29 are deemed generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over

Application/Control Number: 10/713,364

Art Unit: 3637

the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to John Colligan on 10/26/05 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Noah C. Hawk whose telephone number is 571-272-1480. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lanna Mai can be reached on 571-272-6867. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/713,364

Art Unit: 3637

Page 5

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NCH NCHA 10/26/05

> Lanna kkai Supervisory patent **Sum**iner Technology center 3600

Lamamai